

S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.3407/2006

Date : 07.08.2006

HON'BLE MR.SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. R.K.Charan)

Ms. Pragya Ojha) for the applicant.

Mr. V.R. Mehta, Public Prosecutor.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

The contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that only on the basis of statement of the co-accused, the present accused-applicant has been involved in this case and there is no other evidence available on record to connect the accused-applicant with the crime. He further submits that no investigation is to be made from the applicant. In last, he submits that similar situated person has already been enlarged on bail by this Court in S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.3107/2006 dated 21.07.2006 and the case of the present applicant is not different then that person, therefore, the present applicant may also be enlarged on bail.

On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application.

I have considered the submissions made before me and perused the material available on record.

Taking into consideration overall facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it just and proper to allow the bail application. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed and it is directed that the applicant Shanti Lal son of Shanker Lal Kumawat shall be released on bail in FIR No.153/2006 P.S. Nimbahera, District Chittorgarh, provided he executes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.15,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vijayant